The Outsiders

Ted Sallis

Table of Contents

Section	Page
Creative Commons License	**2**
Introduction	**3**
The Foundational Groups of the Coalition	**7**
Interactions	**14**
What to do?	**16**
Conclusion	**25**

CREATIVE COMMONS LICENSE

© 2024. This work is openly licensed via CC BY-NC-ND 4.0.

CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 DEED

https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

Canonical URL: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/legalcode.en

Cover image : Jacques Bertaux - Prise du palais des Tuileries – 1793

NOTE: Sentences and paragraphs that are italicized are fair use quotations from the work of others, or major reproductions of some of my own past work, with either the name of the author given, or the source material information or link given, as a citation.

Disclaimer – My usual disclaimer: By "bringing down the System" and "effective action" I am discussing legal, non-violent, sociopolitical activism.

Also, by the "System" I refer to the entire worldwide (and heavily concentrated in the USA) globalist leftist establishment – including but not limited to government and the deep state, politicians and NGOs, the wealthy elites, international finance, "woke" capital, academia, leftists and other bioleninists, non-Whites (who are catered to by the establishment), feminists, the mass media, etc. It is the entire integrated establishment that is waging war against us and our kind.

INTRODUCTION

Previous Sallis books that give background for the current work:

https://archive.org/details/wnsallis-book-1
https://archive.org/details/after-the-deluge-ts-1

There may be some overlap of material of this book with those previous works.

Dispossession and Alienation

Whites worldwide, and certainly in America, are becoming a dispossessed people; that is what The Great Replacement is all about. Thus, all Whites are in theory becoming part of a dispossessed people and those who recognize and oppose this process are increasingly alienated from society. For example, see this article:

https://amgreatness.com/2024/01/22/white-americans-are-quiet-quitting-our-leading-institutions/

…there is increasing evidence that white Americans are increasingly quiet quitting America's leading institutions. And the possible implications of that for American society are profound…

…both formal and informal anti-white discrimination have become a factor in almost every area of American public life.

Little surprise then, that more and more young whites, especially young white men, are looking at the overall environment and saying, "Thanks, but no thanks," to our leading institutions. Last week, the armed forces announced that the number of white recruits had fallen precipitously over the last five years.

According to a report at Military.com, most of the Army's much-discussed incoming recruiting shortfall is due largely to this dramatic decline. While a bit more than 44,000 white Americans signed up to join the army in 2018, that number cratered to just over 25,000 by 2023—a stunning drop in a short period of time when black and Hispanic recruiting was largely flat. As a result, white recruits went from 56.4% of soldiers in 2018 to 44% in 2023.

Even military leaders attribute this decline in significant part to the souring of conservative whites, who have traditionally formed the backbone of the military, but are now looking at the woke anti-white military under Joe Biden and opting out.…It's not just the military. Whites, as a percentage of medical school students, are well below their population numbers. White college enrollment for 18-24 year-olds has dropped from 43% in 2010 to 38% in 2021, the sharpest drop for any major ethnic group…Discriminated against by race-based preferences in both academia and the workplace, young white men in particular are increasingly dropping out.

White Americans are generally pessimistic about the future, are suffering greatly from the opioid epidemic, are manifesting deaths of despair, and, related to the last point, Whites (especially White men) are second to Native Americans in suicide rates.

However, among the general alienation of (mostly, politically right-leaning) White Americans are specific groups that are particularly disenfranchised, disappointed, and dispossessed; groups who are "intersectionally" alienated and thus exhibit greater rage and bitter alienation than most Whites. Thus,

these groups have additional factors of dissatisfaction added to the general problems of Whiteness in contemporary society. Such groups include:

1. Rural White Americans who feel left behind by an increasingly urbanized, cosmopolitan, elitist, coast-dominated society and who suffer socioeconomically more than other White groups.
2. Right-wing (particularly more radically right-wing) academics and other intellectuals (including and especially STEM people) who suffer from a triple dose of extra alienation – they are outsiders because of race, they are outsiders because of the leftist domination of American academia and American intellectual life, and they are also outsiders from the Right itself, since the Right is unfortunately associated with attitudes often hostile to academics, to intellectuals, to science and technics, indeed, at times, to rational thought itself (this stereotype, like all stereotypes, does have a basis in reality).
3. White male "incels" who are, on top of everything else, sexually disenfranchised by feminism, female hypergamy, and the modern dating scene.
4. Young Whites who face downward mobility under current economic conditions that are combined with anti-White racism.
5. Paradoxically, the Boomers (and late GenXers) that the youth often decry are themselves increasingly alienated, as they see the country they grew up in distorted beyond all recognition, and face growing old in a hostile anti-White environment (never mind that, unlike what many young Whites believe, late Boomers and those who followed faced anti-White discrimination themselves, which, while perhaps not as intense as that of today, was unrelieved by the online community that young Whites have in today's America.).
6. While 1-5 are the main "outsider" categories, as I explain below a sixth category, that of White ethnics, overlaps with the preceding five, as members of groups 1-5 that are also White ethnics have an additional sense of alienation, as they are routinely ethnically disparaged by some of the rightist elements that tend to show sympathy for some alienated White groups. Old Stock Americans can also feel dispossessed and alienated from the nation their ancestors founded.

What I propose is a coalition of White Outsiders, united by race and by being an increasingly dispossessed people, and by being alienated from their society and by their professions. For this coalition to form and to hold together in the struggles ahead, the groups constituting the coalition need to have mutual understanding and resolve various outstanding issues, and dispel misunderstandings they may have with each other. Prematurely beginning action without a solid foundation of comradeship will lead to more of the failure that the Right has repeatedly manifested. We need to bring together groups that heretofore may have thought they have had little in common and may have had little interaction with each other; indeed, may have held stereotyped views of each other. This coalition would include "raging" rural White Americans, White ethnics and Old Stock Americans, right-wing academics and intellectuals (particularly those associated with the Far Right as well as right-populism) who are scorned by and persecuted by their leftist colleagues and institutions, so-called White male "incels," struggling middle-class and working class White families, White youth who see little future and face the prospect of downward mobility, and older White Americans who face the grim prospect of living their declining years among dangerous Coloreds. We have among America's White population this superficially disparate group of Outsiders, linked to each other by race, culture, and a common fate, who should be, and eventually must be, drawn together in a Coalition of the Outsiders, an Army of the Dispossessed, to topple the System. And the same holds for Whites in other nations, our homelands of Europe as well as all Diaspora nations – the USA as mentioned, but also, Canada, Australia, and New Zealand, as well as the White remnants of South Africa. A collective Samson, these Outsiders will bring down the Temple of the Left and create new opportunities for rebirth among the ruins.

The Outsiders must get over whatever negative stereotypes that they may have of each other. Rural White Americans may be suspicious of academic STEM professionals, who in turn may have little

understanding of rural Whites. There are ethnic divides among Whites, such as White ethnics and Old Stock Americans. Family men and incels also may lack immediate understanding of each other. The unfortunate Boomer-bashing of the young and other examples of inter-generational feuding is another flashpoint. Our enemies are aware of these potential problem areas and will of course do everything they can to sow discord between us.

No doubt these groups, when viewed broadly, encompass a large fraction of the White population. Compared to Whites in general, misunderstandings and fissure points are likely a bigger issue for the core of the White Outsiders, those most alienated from the System, a group that in my opinion includes rural White Americans, right-wing/Far Right academics/intellectuals, incels, downwardly mobile youth, and more radically right-wing boomers who find themselves strangers in a country that has left them behind. These core elements of the White disenfranchised represent quite distinct elements that have heretofore lacked mutual understanding and given their understandable anger and bitterness, may be more vulnerable to the sowers of discord than are Whites in general. Think of your own personal experience – when you are angry, enraged, bitter, and disappointed, how willing are you to understand others who may be in a similar plight but from a different background? How willing are you to be cooperative? How willing are you to have hope that by joining others your situation can be improved? Thus, building a White Coalition of Outsiders is a daunting task even from the purely psychological standpoint, apart from the practical issues. Trying to reach an understanding between these disparate disenfranchised groups will be an important first step in building an effective political (broadly defined) coalition among them. The Outsiders will need to become Insiders of a New Movement of which they will be valued founding members. ***For once, they will be the ones that others must listen to; they will be the ones who decide questions about who is in and who is out.***

Although those (groups 1-5) are the main Outsider categories, some of the dividing lines among Whites fit as well, such as the White ethnic question (group 6). This is because White ethnics (in America) are not only alienated, as are all Whites, from the general society, but they are even more so alienated from the (American) Dissident Right, with its well-known scorn for White ethnics. In other words, White ethnics are double Outsiders, a nested alienation phenomenon. They are outside the acceptable norm of today's "woke" System, but they are also outside the acceptable norm for that System's opponent, the "movement." In this sense, White ethnics who identity with the (American) Far Right, and any who belong to the main Outsider categories, are in a sense Doubly Alienated Outsiders. Thus, the White ethnic question needs to be answered as an integral part of building the American Outsider Coalition.

We need some structures to bring these groups together, first to reach a general understanding and then to organize and strategize to bring down the System.

We need to get over the civic nationalist – or even racially aware – "American patriot" mindset. We need to understand that the America of our forefathers is finished; America is a dead country with no future. Read this.

https://eginotes.wordpress.com/2021/09/30/whats-the-idea/
We are told that America is not defined by a core racial or ethnic group but is instead defined by an idea. We are told that Americans are not a particular ancestry group but people who agree with this idea.

Putting aside the laughable assertion that all of the competing groups in America actually agree on anything fundamental, we can ask a more basic question – what's the idea? What actually IS the idea that defines America? Can anyone tell me?

Freedom? The current USA is defined by a social control apparatus that commands human behavior via myriad de jure and de facto mechanisms in order to manage the chaos of diversity and multiculturalism. We have numerous civil rights laws (de jure) and an endless array (de facto) of social pricing controls, as well as government-corporate cooperation, all in order to stifle dissent, particularly dissent from the disappearing White majority. Freedom of association does not exist, freedom of speech is being constantly eroded – what is this freedom? Where is this freedom? It is all a lie; it is all a mirage.

Making a better life? Is that the idea? "The American Dream?" The current American ideology is one of "equity" – enforced equal outcomes, reducing all to the lowest common denominator. The American industrial economy has been outsourced to China and elsewhere, the remaining "economy" is a Ponzi scheme of shuffling money around, the middle class is in decline and income inequality constantly increases (likely a reason the elites, who benefit from this income inequality, push the "equity" nonsense to attack the White middle class/working class that they hate, and whose response to income inequality they fear), and Americans have become a herd of overweight, drug-addicted, dumb, cowardly sheep.

Democracy? We have a System that is essentially an oligarchy, with a suppressed and oppressed White majority, and when the people elect a President (who ends up being a lazy, useless, good-for-nothing) that the elites do not like, the elites conspire against him, and "our" military essentially behaves as if they want to have a coup, and plot treason with foreign governments.

Tolerance? The System is intolerant of Whites, White Identity, and pro-White activism; indeed, the System is defined by its totalitarian intolerance. Treating everyone fairly, everyone treated the same – equality? No, Whites are a subaltern untouchable under-caste; the whole "equity" paradigm implies treated people non-equally, giving advantages to non-Whites. A half-century of affirmative action disadvantaging White men for the benefit of everyone else apparently hasn't been enough, now the System is really going to show us how to discriminate against Whites, particularly against White men.

So – what's the idea? What is the defining idea of America?

Answer: The United States of America is nothing more or less than a group of (mostly fat and stupid) disparate peoples living together in the same territory under the same government, ruled by self-interested, rent-seeking elites who are fearful of losing their hold over a disintegrating nation.

Of course, what goes for White Americans applies to other Whites as well, specific details may differ from country to country, but the broad outline remains the same. Thus, the same strategies and approaches that work for America will work elsewhere, targeted to the specific ultra-alienated White groups elsewhere (in many cases, the ultra-alienated White groups will be similar to that in America).

See this.
https://eginotes.wordpress.com/2014/11/26/an-interesting-online-comment-from-a-white-man-ex-democrat/
I used to be a Democrat years ago. My mother and father were proud FDR and Truman Democrats. Neither left this world as such. Today, I wouldn't vote for a Democrat for dog catcher. The Democrat party made a decision long ago to throw the white working male and their wives for that matter, to the dogs. According nearly every Democrat you listen to, we all are racists, sexists and homophobes. We are responsible for every single ill this country has. We are "bitter clingers" in the words of the current leader of this party. The Democrat party since LBJ has thrown it's lot in with any group that they can get dependent on a government controlled by them. They've become the party of the EBT (single) mom, the illegal immigrant and the ignorant and guilt ridden rich of Hollywood and Silicon valley. They

can save their breath when it comes to expecting us to support them. They despise us and we despise them. Working white men and their wives don't vote democrat and we won't be fooled by their lies.

And see this:
https://eginotes.wordpress.com/2016/03/13/we-are-in-uncharted-territory/
….once again this is The United States of America, that "shining city on the hill," which has been so devastated by diversity, so mangled by multiculturalism, that free speech is rendered meaningless and more than two centuries of democratic procedures are crumbling into dust.

Why all this anger and hatred toward the victim? Is the victim violent? No, he's a wealthy old man. Is the victim a hate-filled extremist (which wouldn't be an excuse for what happened even if true, but let's consider it anyway)? No, he is a centrist, someone who supports affirmative action, coddles Negroes, has Jewish family connections, was a reality TV star, is a real estate magnate, a person endorsed by senators and governors. What is going on? Why the derangement?

Simple. Despite being a centrist, the victim's supporters are White working class and middle-class Americans. The victim makes bombastic speeches, and seemingly wants to enforce immigration law to the same extent as Dwight Eisenhower (gasp! the horror!). The perception exists that the victim represents Whites and White interests. And that you see is the problem. In today's America, Whites are a despised subaltern group, low-caste slaves of the System, humiliated and bullied by their Jewish-Asian-African-Latino-SJW masters, a group that is not allowed to have any interests. That is the reason why this chaos is occurring, and that is the reason we are now in uncharted territory in American politics, the System is adrift in uncharted waters, the situation is unprecedented for any period in American history since the Great Depression and before that the Civil War – a fluidity of the situation in which the train has run off the tracks and intelligent and bold action can change the course of history.

THE FOUNDATIONAL GROUPS OF THE COALITION

Rural White Americans

See this.

https://www.zerohedge.com/political/taibbi-msnbc-paul-krugman-panic-over-white-rural-rage
"…Schaller read off small town America's charge sheet: rural whites, he said, are the most "racist," "xenophobic," "anti-immigrant and anti-gay," "conspiracist," "anti-democratic," they "don't believe in an independent press or free speech," and are "most likely to accept or excuse violence," for starters.

White Rural Rage, which I made the mistake of reading, is a vicious manifesto…Nobel-winning columnist Paul Krugman of the New York Times spent the last year telling "ignorant" Middle America its negative feelings about the economy are "demonstrably false…When White Rural Rage came out this week he rushed to review it, the intransigent refusal of yokels to accept his wisdom being his favored current hobby horse…"For so long," complained Waldman on Morning Joe, "Democrats have been told… that in order to get rural voters… you have to go there… you have to show them that you understand… You have to put on a Carhartt jacket and go down to somebody's farm, right? Maybe milk a cow?"…But it turns out, a sad Waldman pronounced, that you "don't have to do any of that," because Donald Trump didn't. He just "gave [rural voters] a way to essentially give a big middle finger to Democrats, to people who live in cities and to the rest of the country."

Rural White Americans – and plenty of White ethnics as well – fall prey to what I term The Bunker Syndrome:

https://eginotes.wordpress.com/2015/02/20/the-bunker-syndrome/
There used to be a TV show popular in 1970s America, All in the Family, with one of the most famous characters in television history: the blue-collar White bigot Archie Bunker. This being a Jewish-created show, Bunker was of course shown as ignorant and buffoonish, no surprises there. But, that said, he did – and still does – represent something about Whites that remains a serious problem for nationalist progress.

Despite all of Bunker's anger and frustrations toward minorities (and also White ethnic Catholics), he was – what? A neo-Nazi? No, not by a longshot. Bunker was a supporter of Richard Nixon, the Republican President who promoted affirmative action and busing. Bunker gave his ardent support to a politician who enacted policies that were complete anathema to Bunker's fundamental racial beliefs. Thus, the problem: Bunker's racialism never expressed itself in practical politics, it never manifested in a manner that could influence public policy or in any way modify the historical currents to which he objected. Like White "bigots" the world over, Bunker's racialism was private, manifested in "venting," and bluff displays of "politically incorrect" verbal bravado (and even that is forbidden today), not in any sort of useful activism, not even in making the right choice on election day.

This is something that needs to be overcome. Empty blowhard expression of bigotry and/or crude "acting out" does not solve the problem. At best, it just blows off steam, relieves tension without accomplishing anything, and creates a false sense of "I showed them!" At worst, it gets the person expressing the bigotry into trouble (and, again, for no practical purpose), makes pro-White activists look bad (even if by association), and creates the image that race awareness among Whites is merely some sort of knuckle-dragging irrational bigotry. So, no, "Bunkerism" is not solution. Making comments about "the niggers and the spics" while you vote for candidates who cater to Blacks and Hispanics at your expense is not the solution. Effective and consistent Far Right political activism *can* be the solution, *if* done properly, and the first steps must be aimed at building the coalition discussed in this work.

Academics

Right-wing White academics/intellectuals are triply alienated. First, they are racially alienated because they are White, second they are politically alienated in academia and among intellectuals because they are right-wing, and third they are alienated from the Right that unfortunately is infested with anti-intellectual and anti-scientific irrational attitudes.

My own experiences in academia (broadly defined), as someone with a STEM PhD who has then worked in that field for decades, brought home to me, up close and personal, how hostile academia/intellectualism is for anyone on the Right – and the further to the Right you are, the worse it is. Anyone with viewpoints that overlap that of the Dissident/Far Right will be a pariah in academia; quietly alienated if you stay silent and openly attacked if you speak out. And in the latter case, expect to need a good lawyer in many cases, and you may find yourself out of a job.

See this site for a broadly mainstream conservative perspective on academia:
https://www.mindingthecampus.org/

See this:
https://eginotes.wordpress.com/2022/05/12/taking-an-academic-stand/
Contra the reactionary Right, I do not agree that we should abandon academia, I do not agree that we should cede the bastions of current intellectual development and higher education to the Left. We certainly should not abandon the field of STEM to the Left.

In fact, the Right have so far ceded academia to the Left…

…As STEM become ever more colonized by radical leftists, outright lies, perpetuated by despicable lairs (such as the authors of that The Scientist screed), will become more commonplace, and unchallenged. Why the "movement" hates and sabotages STEM people who are in the ranks of pro-White activists is yet another profound example of how the anti-scientific mindset of the Right creates its self-destruction.

As I have written multiple times before, the Right has historically been a reactionary anti-scientific irrational bastion of anti-intellectualism. There are a number of reasons for this; prime among them the association of the Right with "traditionalism," particularly with religion. And we know the hostility of traditionalism/religion to science (and the technics derived from science), since science, and anti-intellectualism in general, threatens the traditionalist and religious worldview.

One can of course note Dr. Kevin MacDonald's trial and tribulations as a faculty member after his Jewish Trilogy was published; this is well known by those in the Dissident Right. There are several right-leaning organizations in existence based on pushing back against the Left and against censorship in academia and all areas of education; as regards STEM, there is also a group that is focused on medicine and medical education. These groups exist for a reason, but it is like sticking a finger in a cracked dike and expecting to hold back the flood.

Right-of-center academics are in a bad spot, and, as I wrote above, the further to the Right one is, the bigger the problem. Rightist White academics and other intellectuals, particularly those on the Far Right, must rank among the most isolated and alienated White groups anywhere in the "West," and particularly so in the American context. They will not find allies and community among their fellow academics. They instead need to participate in the coalescence with the other groups that make up the Coalition of Outsiders described in this book.

Incels

White male incels are alienated not only racially but also sexually, being denied normal and healthy sexual access to females due to feminism and the subsequent unleashing of female hypergamy.

This may be of interest:
https://eginotes.wordpress.com/2023/02/05/anxiety-redux/
Watching The Wolf of Wall Street and its infamous "candle scene" leads one to consider the question as to why wealthy and powerful men pay big money to be abused and otherwise dominated by (typically attractive) women. That behavior is unhealthy and irrational. Why not just have sex with such women instead?

My hypothesis is that this has to do with the high levels of sexual anxiety men have about women. Men – with complete justification – see women as malicious and perfidious creatures who have an innate hatred and envy of men; further, attractive women are seen (again, justifiably) as using their sexuality to control men and, if possible, harm or even destroy men (revenge against male superiority?). Men have anxiety over the knowledge of their own weakness with respect to this female sexual power. This whole dynamic may be amplified by memories of how the male was dependent upon female power figures (e.g., mothers, teachers) at a young age.

One way of tackling anxiety is dealing with it head on. One confronts one's fears directly in order to try and purge the underlying fear and worry.

See this:
https://eginotes.wordpress.com/2022/01/12/the-perfidious-yeastbucket/

See this:
https://eginotes.wordpress.com/2018/01/07/the-betas-karamazov/

See this:
https://eginotes.wordpress.com/2019/10/31/incel-rebellion/

It is absolutely mind-boggling that "movement leaders" publicly and aggressively mock, ridicule, and attack their followers and, more importantly, potential followers as "incels," and "manlets" and other System-approved insults against disenfranchised White men. This can be called, for want of a better term, "Chad posturing," failed "movement leaders" engaging in high school-level "throw the nerds in the locker" ego-stroking chest-beating to the detriment of the well-being of racial activism.

The idea that all "incels" – never mind the MGTOWs (many of whom actually have voluntarily given up on women, contrary to those who claim MGTOWs are simply incels in denial) – are pimply-faced losers hiding in their parents' basements, and hence worthy of being rejected from racial activism, is just System propaganda. Now, of course, some of them are just such people, but not all, and, likely, not most. I believe that most incels are simply (White) beta males who are shut out of the sexual marketplace by female hypergamy, crazed feminism, and the racial-sexual caste system that places White men at the bottom of hierarchy (and the anti-incel "movement leaders" thus tacitly support this anti-White hierarchy). Instead of embracing these White men, instead of calling them brothers and welcoming them into the fold of pro-White activism, our gaggle of "leaders" reject them and force them into an unnatural aracial alliance with non-White incels (mostly Asians). The self-defeating stupidity of this is breathtaking (but not surprising).

The "movement" surprisingly had the correct "take" on the Sky King episode, but they are unable to realize that for every person who indulges in a romantic gesture of defiant self-destruction, there are literally thousands, if not tens of thousands, of similar individuals who haven't quite reached that point yet, and who are excellent human material for a dissident movement. Instead of enthusiastically reaching out to this mass, and selecting from it the best quality recruits, the "movement" scorns them. After all, we can't all be as successful as the Prince of Pilleater and the King of Charlottesville now, can we? If these "leaders" believe they need to eschew incels because they don't want their "movement" tainted by "losers" then they have the entire situation backwards. The incels instead would be justified eschewing a pathetic "movement" that is defined by constant failure.

And I would like to point out that mainstream conservatives – and, yes, that means Bannon too – contemptuously attempt to use incels in an instrumental manner, And then we have that estrogen-enriched effeminate freak Wylie pontificating publicly about it. The incels get it from all sides. Disenfranchised by society. Hated by the Left. Targeted by the Matriarchy. Exploited by conservatives. Mocked and ridiculed by the Chad Right.

As a side note, I won't even go into detail about the utter stupidity of the "manlet" slur, as if height is some sort of relevant criteria for a man to be a successful and competent activist. Richard "wrecked the Alt Right" Spencer and Greg "strangely obsessed with the height of Tom Cruise" Johnson are perfect examples of this. They can mock "manlets" all they want, but they can't escape the taint of their own constant comic ineptness and unremitting failure.

Getting back to incels and related individuals, I define the group in question, the group in its broadest dimensions, as Incels-MGTOW-Men's Rights-Manosphere: IMMM. These IMMMers – and here I specifically refer to White men only – can be a potent force. Dissident movements often have recruited among the socially disenfranchised, but the point is to select the best among those. Of the many White IMMMers there are large numbers who are quality individuals, and of those, there may be thousands, maybe tens of thousands, who have the potential to be high-tier activists. The National Socialists recruited their Brownshirts from among the disenfranchised. Given the ascetic nature of the Legionaries of Romania, there were no doubt proto-incels and proto-MGTOWs among them. These were not "losers." They were political solders, or a caliber much greater than the sniggering Beavis-and-Butthead "Chads" and grifters who prance and preen on the "movement" stage with their attitude of superiority over those less well off (but who ask for "D'Nations" from them). We may have among the current IMMMers similar people of quality as the Legionaries, solid human material for pro-White racial activism. Thus, we observe yet another opportunity being recklessly squandered by the incompetent Quota Queens lifted to positions of leadership by the "movement's" ethnic affirmative action policy.

I will give advice of "dos and don'ts" to IMMMers, starting with the "don'ts". Don't give in to despair. Don't engage in acts of violence, lashing out, which does nothing but empower female victimology as well as destroy your own life, while practically accomplishing nothing and actually damaging the cause you profess to believe in. Don't make common cause with racially alien men, whose presence in your country plays an important role in your own dispossession and disenfranchisement, and who are given advantages by the System over you. And don't believe that White racial activism is completely defined by the Chads, freaks, queers, and grifters who mock you and your plight, or who ignore you in favor of the Matriarchy.

Do become as successful as you can in your life. Yes, the deck is stacked against you, but you still have room to maneuver, you can still rise in the face of your oppression, and your success will be an extended middle finger to the System, the Yeastbuckets, and the mocking Chads. Do become racially conscious, embracing a militantly revolutionary pan-European nationalism. Do get involved in politics, broadly defined, make your voice heard, infiltrate the System, vote, run for office, start blogs, network, and get out into the world and make an impact to defend your interests and those of your kind. Do support revolutionary voices who speak out in defense of disenfranchised White men, such as the Ted Sallis/EGI Notes/Western Destiny groupuscule. Do send the link to this post around like-minded colleagues, spread the word about this blog and what it stands for. Create your own groupuscules…JUST DO.

It is time to make your voices heard. It is time to stand up like men and make your impact on society, in a manner that will have lasting effect, not by some infantile acting out. It's time to get out of the shadows and into the light, into the arena, into the fray of politics, broadly defined, as well as metapolitics. It is time for defiance, a time to make a stand. It is time for the Incel Rebellion to demonstrate to an uncaring society, to the sneering of the SJW Left and the mocking of the Beavis-and-Butthead Right, that you will no longer be ignored, that you are a force that must be accommodated. Review the Dos and Don't's and do the former and don't do the latter. And help spread the word about those who support you, such as this blog and its associated groupuscule.

A System view:
https://news.harvard.edu/gazette/story/2023/10/new-paper-explores-the-rise-of-incels/
She went on to explain that while men might desire greater control over the selection process, the balance of power has shifted even more decidedly in favor of women. Female empowerment and medical advances (especially birth control), along with greater economic independence, means modern women have greater freedom to choose whom they want on their own terms, or even not at all.

"Women, now that we are in a position where we don't need to rely on a man to be financially stable, we have that freedom to express more the types of attributes that we are looking for in a long-term partner," Lindner said.

In fact, a significant percentage of women are choosing to be celibate or single. Lindner cites research by Anna Brown at the Pew Research Center, which found that, "Sixty-one percent of single men were looking for a relationship or dates, but only 38 percent of women reported doing so."

And those 38 percent of women are all after the same pool of dark triad alpha male Chads.

I am also amused by brassy feminist women saying to incels – and to all (White) men - "we don't owe you sex." OK, fair enough. However, in return, ***men do not owe <u>you</u> an orderly, well-maintained society***, a society that is orderly and well-maintained precisely because of all those White beta males you despise, a society that you (and your Colored pets) could not establish or maintain on your own. At some point, dispossessed, abandoned, and frustrated White men will say – or should say – fine, my most important innate needs are not being satisfied. My longing and desperation is mocked. My frustration is ignored or made a figure of fun. You do not owe me anything? Great – I owe *you* and your stinking society *nothing* as well, nothing except for my scorn, nothing except for my bitter hatred. In the past, "easy" women were warned by their more sexually close-fisted "sisters" – "don't give it (i.e., sex) away for free." Likewise, I say to my naïve White men brothers – do not give it away for free, and, in your case, "it" means all you do to maintain the System, all you do to make the life of women comfortable. No, if you are suffering, then *their* time for comfort is over. We can all suffer alike.

The incels need to understand their problem in the broader context. It is not an individual problem and it is not an "incel-specific" problem, nor one solely focused on men's rights. Ultimately, tracing the issue to its source, it is due to the System itself – the leftism, feminism, globalism, and, of course, anti-White animus that is at the heart of System ideology. Female hypergamy has been unleashed, promoted, and protected by the System; hostility to men's interests is a key component of the System, ridiculing incels is a practice of the System, and hatred of Whites, and particularly White *men*, is the fundamental foundational core of the System. It is the System that creates, maintains, and defends the culture that creates the conditions of inceldom; therefore, there is no way out but through the System. For inceldom to end, the System must end. Beta male revolt!

See this:
https://www.heretical.com/sgs-2013/sprees.html

A nationalist perspective would be that three obvious new features of Western societies are mass immigration, the promotion of miscegenation and miscegenation itself. As always, we put our observations of behaviour in its evolutionary context. What evolutionary scenario can be envisaged in which a male would see members of other races moving freely about, promoted to positions of authority over him, and occupying other prestigious roles? Or when might he see his women parading through thoroughfares with a male of another race, transporting children sired by him, and obviously servicing his domestic and personal needs, while his own remain untended?

It is that the tribe has been defeated and cast into servitude. In this case, throughout history, the indigenous males would have been rapidly dispatched (put to the sword, or machete, or whatever) or quickly transported away to be sold as slaves. In any event the vanquished males would be hastily got out of the way, for obvious reasons. Their reaction at seeing their women expropriated, their families destroyed and their settlement exploited makes them dangerous to keep around. With nothing left to

lose a humiliated male would, given any opportunity at all, strike back with maximum force. This would be without regard for his own future, for the simple reason that he has none.

…the essence of the spree killer is rebellion against his devaluation. His protest at his derogation is expressed by the number of victims; his tally is a demonstration of his worth. In most cases the spree killer has already decided to end his life, either because of events immediately beforehand or as part of a long-standing plan. Circumstances have ceased to make his life worthwhile, and he raises the cost of his demise with a final statement of his value..

…Even moderate critics of the Establishment's suicidal immigration policies are marginalised and vehemently traduced as "racists," "xenophobes" and the like. The fate of Matt Hale is a case in point. Nationalists' concerns are ignored, or they are the theme of phony, stage-managed debates by a closed group of 'media darlings' who only repeat their stock agenda. The spree killer arises out of repressed fury at the despoliation of everything he is, has or holds dear; indeed spree killing might be regarded as the ultimate displacement activity.

Now, of course, remember: **My usual DISCLAIMER: By "bringing down the System" and "effective action" I am discussing legal, non-violent, sociopolitical activism.**

So, I am arguing **AGAINST** incels "acting out" by violence just as I am against them sitting on a computer whining and doing nothing. There must be an effective political response, with "politics" broadly defined to include racial nationalist organization. Individual "acting out" accomplishes nothing overall, and of course online complaining also accomplishes nothing. We must have political power and we must be tightly organized, and the former will derive from the latter. I would say that the "ultimate displacement activity" would be Pan-European National Socialism, establishing an Imperium that would deal with aliens and with traitors in an appropriate – and in that state, perfectly legal – manner.

Generational Issues – Downwardly Mobile Youth and Disillusioned Boomers (and late GenXers)

Both White youth, and the "Boomers" (and early GenXers) that they scorn, are alienated Outsiders to contemporary modern American society, even apart from the basic fact of being White. The youth come of age in a society that hates them and they suffer from socioeconomic downward mobility. Many youth fit into the incel category as well (there are various "intersectional" overlaps of these groups). Meanwhile, older White Americans – who also suffered anti-White discrimination in their youth – have become shocked of how quickly an already bad racial situation for Whites has worsened. These older White Americans face an uncertain retirement, likely to be prey to feral younger people of color, they fear for their children and grandchildren, and they unjustly are scorned by younger Whites who somehow blame their elders for the crimes of others.

White Ethnics

Let us consider the additional, sixth outsider group – the White ethnics, whose members may "intersectionally" overlap with that of other categories. By (American) White ethnics I mean European-Americans who derive ancestry from Southern and/or Eastern Europe, as well as Irish Catholics. White ethnics in the USA are doubly alienated. They are outsiders with respect to the general society on account of being White. That is especially galling considering the history of White ethnics in America. First, the problem was that the ethnics were not "White enough" – scorned by Nordicists and Anglophiles, with the Reed Johnson Act of 1924 aimed at mostly stopping immigration from Southern and Eastern Europe. Later, having assimilated into the White American middle class, White ethnics were then scorned for "being White" – indeed, being an especially and viciously racist form of White.

At the same time, White ethnic upward mobility was stymied by the advent of affirmative action. White ethnics tended to live in and around cities that were also home to large numbers of people of color; hence, affirmative action for Coloreds shut off opportunities for White ethnics. Further, White ethnics were just breaking into higher education and the professions (areas where Old Stock Americans and Jews already had a firm foothold) when affirmative action shut off opportunities. Then again, racial integration in the Northeast disproportionately harmed White ethnics as it was their neighborhoods and public schools that were under attack. Second, White ethnics are alienated from the System's main competitor, the Dissident Right itself, because the American Far Right is still infested with the Nordicist and Anglophilic attitudes of the past and therefore does not seriously accept White ethnics as equal partners in the pro-White endeavor.

See this:
https://sallisgroup.blogspot.com/2022/04/teds-first-person-account.html

And this:
https://sallisgroup.blogspot.com/2022/06/teds-account-continued.html

See this:
https://eginotes.wordpress.com/2020/12/10/call-to-the-ethnics/
Some readers here at EGI Notes are White ethnics who are part of Der Movement; some may be readers/commentators at anti-White ethnic sites like Counter-Currents, Amren, etc. This post is for you.

This is a call to action (and selected inaction) to so-called White ethnics – particularly Southern and Eastern Europeans – heretofore involved in Der Movement but who are waking up to the fact that Der Movement is inherently hostile to them, will never truly accept them, will never take their interests seriously, and is free-riding on their support with zero reciprocity for that support.

Inaction – stop supporting Der Movement. Stop sending in "D'Nations" to people who despise you. Stop leaving comments on their blogs, stop praising them, stop feeding their egos, their bank accounts, their reputations, and their comments threads. Stop buying their books, stop supporting them in any way whatsoever.

Action – stand up for your ethnoracial rights and your interests as part of their community of European peoples. Support radical and uncompromising pan-Europeanism – supporting the rights and interests of ALL peoples of European descent worldwide, not just those who hail from north of Vienna and west of Berlin. Be readers and supporters of the Sallis Groupuscule (I don't ask for money, just be readers and spread the word about my work to other people to build up a community of readers and supporters). Start your own blogs and groups, supporting pan-Europeanism and opposing Nordicism, ethnonationalism, HBD, and Der Movement as a whole. Support these principles – or come up with something better. Support whatever authentic pan-Europeanism that exists, Imperium Europa in Malta, for example.

Hold racial nationalist leaders accountable, don't sell yourselves and your support cheap. Support and respect is a two-way street – you've been giving it out freely for decades and receiving nothing in return. The situation will not change unless you force change.

INTERACTIONS

How can we get these various groups to interact with each other, to build understanding, rapport, and trust to create a community of effective activity to bring down the System?

Rural White Americans and White Rightist Academics

A considerable number of academic institutions are in small- to mid-sized cities, or even towns, including in red state and rust belt state areas, often (relatively) near rural regions. Thus, there is an opportunity for real life interactions between rural White Americans and rightist academics. Of course, online interaction would be even more feasible and the convenience of online association needs to be ruthlessly leveraged. But we should not forget that nothing equals the power of face-to-face meeting, and that should also be ruthlessly leveraged wherever and whenever it is possible. Rural Whites can be invited to visit academia, and academics can be invited to visit rural Whites and participate in the activities of those regions. Trust and understanding between the groups would therefore be promoted. This is something that can be done right now.

Incels and Family Men

Both incels and family men require increased understanding of each other. Older family men need to be understanding of the legitimate sexual frustrations of the incels, some of whom may be their own sons and grandsons. On the other hand, the incels should understand that older family men are not enemies and certainly not "Chads" – more likely they are nagged husbands and/or "Ordinary Joes" who may be useful founts of wisdom (and/or of warning) for navigating socio-sexual issues.

MGTOW types and general Men's Rights advocates who are pro-White can help bridge these divides.

See this:
https://eginotes.wordpress.com/2024/04/03/personnel-is-action/
I want to advocate an alliance between White incels and MGTOWs as well as the group that I term, for the first time, pusswhips.

Pusswhip – short for pussy-whipped. This is a heterosexual man who is married or in a long-term relationship who is absolutely miserable with the harridan he's stuck with (and in some cases, is a de facto incel) or it could describe a man who was in such a relationship in the past (broken-off, separated, divorced) who hasn't yet recovered and hasn't yet moved to MGTOW.

Pusswhips are natural allies to MGTOW and incels, who are in turn natural allies to each other; the three groups should coalesce into a general male alliance, albeit a strictly White, rightist one (see below).

White Ethnics and Old Stock Americans/Nordic Americans

What about the tension between White ethnics and Old Stock Americans/Nordic Americans? I am not sure what more can be done here, what with many decades of White ethnic assimilation and general intermarriage among White Americans of different ethnic types. General interaction is always helpful, and as the problems for White ethnics on the Right is primarily due to Nordicism and Anglophilia in the "movement," the excesses of those outdated paradigms need to be curbed. On the other hand, White ethnics also need to understand that a narrow ethnic nationalism serves no one (on our side, that is) and is becoming increasing ludicrous in an America where most Whites are multi-ethnic.

One thing that should be avoided is condescending attitudes of Northwest Europeans to other European types, bestowing or withholding the designation of "Whiteness" as if it is the imperial right of Nordics to sit on a racial throne and make judgments of others. For example, I wrote online:

Non-Nordic Europeans do not need or want the condescending "generous" attitude of racially smug Nordics bestowing "Whiteness" on other Europeans as if it is their God-given right to do so. That is exactly the kind of self-satisfied arrogance that creates intra-European animus and distrust.

The intra-European, intra-White ethnoracial caste system characteristic of the Far Right must be dispensed with.

Youth and Elders (Boomers and Late GenXers)

The Elders need to become more aware of the problems of the Youth, who in turn need to get over childish Boomer-bashing and realize that many anti-White policies were already in place by the time the late Boomers and early GenXers came of age. This hostility is strange (and makes one wonder how much is due to cognitive infiltration by our enemies) given that the generational divide exists within families. Do the Elders not know of the problems of their own children and grandchildren (assuming they have any)? Do the Youth really despise their own parents and grandparents? Or do they believe that their own family is different and that the problem is with "others?" We need inter-generational understanding and assistance. Older established individuals should provide advice, mentoring, and opportunities for the young; in turn, as these older people continue to age, they will increasingly require physical and other assistance from younger Whites. Older Whites must remember that they too were young once and experienced some of the same problems of today's youth; the young should realize that one day they will be old and will experience the same problems as their elders.

WHAT TO DO?

What should be done?

Dropping out? No!

I oppose dropping out for the sake of dropping out; I oppose the cult of downward mobility, particularly among younger activists. In some cases, I suspect it is a "misery loves company" ploy. Certain activists essentially ruined their futures by prematurely "coming out" publicly as members of the Far Right and now they want to immiserate others, and retroactively justify their own errors, by encouraging those others to similarly ruin themselves by eschewing a normal family life and a successful professional career, instead voluntarily choosing downward mobility and an impoverished life as a "professional activist." My advice, particularly to younger activists, has consistently been to take care of themselves first, build a career, earn money, establish a degree of security, while doing as much pseudonymous activism as possible, but giving your private life priority. Then, later, as you get more secure, you can increase your activism as much as possible, without falling into the trap of now saying "I have too much to lose" – one can work intelligently without putting all at risk. Of course, there are *some* activists, who by their nature, because of their rare combination of ability and attitude, will eschew this general advice and strike out as open activists early; these should be the relatively rare exception to the rule, and if they are genuinely sincere and productive, and not comically inept and not grifters, then they deserve support, and the larger numbers of pseudonymous activists, if they follow my advice, will be able to provide that support. Further, it is helpful for the cause to have activists who are influential professionals, who have means and who have connections, and who have infiltrated into the general society, and are positioned to leverage their status for the good of the cause. In this sense, having most activists – except for the chosen few – following my advice is both good for them personally and good for the cause (see the section on "dropping in" below).

However, having said all of that, there is also the consideration that we should also "heighten the contradictions" and leverage a fundamental weakness of the System – it depends on its effective maintenance on the very group that it hates and wants to destroy - heterosexual White men. One could argue that the System would collapse if such White men would withdraw their consent and stop participating in its maintenance. This would seem to support the "dropping out" paradigm, at odds with my advice given above. We must be honest and practical however. While it is true that the System will collapse if large numbers of White men withdraw consent simultaneously, this is unlikely to realistically occur as we run into "tragedy of the commons" and "prisoner's dilemma" scenarios. Can other White men be trusted to drop out? What if you drop out but the others remain? What good then is your sacrifice? Without a coordinated approach to the problem, it is difficult to ensure that all would cooperate to achieve the collective good of System collapse; the chances of defection are too high, and thus no one takes that first step.

But we see also see another contradiction – for the withdrawal of White men's consent to be damaging, those White men would first have to be in positions such that their refusal to participate will do damage. Of course, one can argue that, overall, the System could not operate without White men, so if White men refused to get involved from the start; that is, if they all adopted downward mobility *from the outset,* then there would be no one to replace them. But it is more likely that the System could stagger on with Asians, Jews, women, and others filling the spots, and even if this was inefficient (and it would be), and even if collapse would be inevitable (most likely), the System could stagger on for quite a while slowly replacing White male drop-outs, and using this continuing System to target White men for harassment and destruction. This indeed is happening today, given the trends, mentioned above, of young White men slowly dropping out of the System, never achieving any status, and being replaced in piece-meal fashion. Eventually, yes, that would make the System collapse, but by that time the racial situation may well be so bad that it would be "too little too late." More damage would be done having White men as part of the System "monkey–wrenching" it from inside, engaged in passive-aggressive actions against the System, with the future potential of more widespread and coordinated dropouts of well-connected and influential White men. The latter can occur only if we can solve the "tragedy of the commons" "prisoner's dilemma" defection problem.

On balance then, while there may be *some* utility in having *some* fraction of White men drop out now, on balance, I believe we would be ill-served by a premature disconnection from all the levers of power. That means there is still scope for action in higher education, in the professions, etc. and so engagement rather than dropping out seems to be best for most of us, if it is recognized that the long-term objective of engagement is to bring down the System. It is a means to an end, not an end to itself. The engagement should be a "poison pill" to, eventually, damage the System, not maintain it.

Of course, the "dropping out" option would be more viable if there were alternative social structures to "drop in" to – pro-White communities, jobs, education, etc. That such does not exist is an indictment of the utter failure of the Dissident Right and its inept, self-seeking "leadership." Building such alternatives is one of the missions of our coalition – we should be about creation as well as destruction.

Of relevance to the System's dependence on White men, I wrote:
https://eginotes.wordpress.com/2014/11/26/an-interesting-online-comment-from-a-white-man-ex-democrat/

…all Whites, particularly White men, have more power than they think – they are enabling their own dispossession and destruction, since the System absolutely depends on White men for is proper function. If a significant fraction – not all, and perhaps not even a majority – of White men withhold their consent to the System, refuse to play the game, and actively oppose it, if they say, AS A GROUP, with

cross-supporting group solidarity: NO! – if they refuse to be the cooperating cogs in the System machine, then the anti-White system breaks down, comes to a halt. The paradox for the System, and a source of real power for Whites, is that while the System hates White men, and wants to dispossess and humiliate White men, the System absolutely depends on White men for its own continued existence. Somehow we need to enlighten folks such as this comment writer on these realities, and motivate them to begin to withdraw their practical support for the System that is destroying them. Easier said than done, I know. I really don't know how to reach these people. Convince them that diversity threatens their supply of chips and beer?

Dropping In/Infiltration

Instead of "dropping out," Whites" need to "drop in" by building careers and infiltrating the System to undermine it from within. This would include, as circumstances dictate, involvement in higher education, the professions, the trades, electoral politics, governance, etc. As part of this, the various "outsider" factions can get a toehold in the System and use that to not only undermine the System but to provide opportunities to fellow Whites, particularly helping fellow Outsiders. For example, pro-White Whites who have positions in society should do all they can to oppose the downward mobility of White youth, and provide whatever educational and professional opportunities as possible for such people. We must set up intersecting networks of ethnocentric assistance, and the Whites who may most need such assistance are those who fall into one or more of the "outsider" groups. Further, pro-White projects, such as any described in this work, require protection from the hostility of the System, and therefore it is important to have "highly placed" allies who can blunt and obstruct System attacks against pro-White activities. And of course, "dropping in" and building personal status, wealth, and authority is personally beneficial to the person so engaged, in the sense of improving standard of living and personal accomplishments – just remember that these are means to an end (helping oneself and one's racial comrades to survive and thrive under difficult circumstances while undermining the Systen) rather than ends to themselves of merely enhancing personal well-being at the expense of White racial interests.

Community Building

The tendency of Whites for atomized individualism must be opposed. The barriers that exist between factions of Whites, including those between the various "outsider" groups described here, must be eliminated. Various mechanisms must be established to facilitate meeting, understanding, cooperation, and high-level organizing between Whites, particularly between the "outsider" groups described above. This cannot be mere talk; a priority of Far Right activism is to break down these barriers and to facilitate dialogue, understanding, and organization. Any tendencies in the Right to ***increase*** barriers – such as "Boomer bashing," hatred toward White ethnics, mocking incels, knee-jerk hostility toward academics, dividing urban/suburban/rural Whites – ***must stop***; such behavior is destructive and accomplishes nothing positive.

We need real community building, especially among the "outsider" factions described in this book.

Consider my interview in Griffin's *One Sheaf One Vine* book.
See here:
http://www.robertsgriffin.com/SheafA.pdf
Relevant excerpt about community building:

I think the challenge is to heighten whites' racial awareness—especially the sane, honest, hardworking, law-abiding whites—and convince them to form voluntary, private organizations in their own communities. These organizations would exist to do practical things. The problem now is you have

racialist organizations and they say, "Join up and send us your membership dues," and you get a little membership card and a newsletter once a month. But the members stay isolated and everything stays divorced from reality. We need racial nationalist organizations that help children with homework, and help old and infirmed people, and that clean up neighborhoods, and where everybody stands together when some outside force tries to push them around. Maybe these organizations could have youth auxiliaries. As it is now, we have white people thinking, "What am I going to do? I have got to send my children to the local school and it's full of minorities and they are going to be taught all sorts of nonsense and they are going to get attacked, and my neighborhood is deteriorating, and my life is going to hell." An activist comes up to them and says, "Let's go protest against the United States' foreign policy in the Middle East." That's great, our foreign policy in the Middle East should be protested, but there is still the question of what is going to be done to help the person scratching his head trying to figure out what he is going to do about what is happening in his life. At a very basic level we have to protect ourselves physically. The Nation of Islam may be an example of the kind of thing I'm talking about. It was founded in the 1930s, but it wasn't until the early 1960s that most white Americans ever heard about the Black Muslims. They spent decades building a base of support in the black community by helping black people with whatever they were doing in their community. So when they started becoming vocal and white people in the early 1960s started saying, "Hey, these people are a problem, what are we going to do?" it was already too late. The Black Muslims were already firmly established and had become an integral part of black society. In a similar way, a white nationalist movement has to grow like a plant, with its roots firmly in the soil.

Some issues of related to community building, organization, and infrastructure are as follows:

Worse is Not Better and Suvorov's Law

I have criticized the "worse is better" paradigm with respect to pro-White activism, see here:

https://eginotes.wordpress.com/2021/03/26/worse-is-better-reconsidered/
https://eginotes.wordpress.com/2022/09/25/the-proper-dose/

Democratic Multiculturalism

Let us consider Dr. Frank Salter's idea of "democratic multiculturalism" – White majorities using the process of multiculturalism to advance their interests by demanding a seat at the table. This is part of the tripod of activism; Salter stated in *On Genetic Interests* that the only thing worse than a multiculturalism that does not work is one that does – because the latter allows for the smooth process of replacement of the majority to occur with a minimum of fuss. It is therefore imperative for multiculturalism **NOT** to work. Multiculturalism, as Salter points out, is based on minority mobilization and majority passivity. If the majority adopts aggressive multiculturalism tactics then we must consider that ***a multiculturalism for everyone inevitably means a multiculturalism for no one.***

General page:
https://eginotes.wordpress.com/category/democratic-multiculturalism/

Selected posts:
https://eginotes.wordpress.com/2023/04/23/demomulticult-101/
https://eginotes.wordpress.com/2019/12/02/democratic-multiculturalism-and-title-vi/
https://eginotes.wordpress.com/2023/02/14/dont-be-your-own-gravedigger/
https://eginotes.wordpress.com/2022/01/18/andrews-democratic-multiculturalism/

The Moral Outlook

While organization, societal structure, and ideology are all important, I agree with the Romanian Legionaries that personal character, the moral structure, the worth of the human material, are paramount. Thus, we must have The New Man, a moral overman, an ethical-spiritual rebirth, first among leadership and then extending down to as many of our people as possible - among the activist class most of all - to achieve our objectives.

For more on morals and ethics, see:
https://eginotes.wordpress.com/2017/10/08/the-ethics-of-egi/

https://westdest.blogspot.com/2021/05/the-moral-state.html

The New Man

See this post:
https://westdest.blogspot.com/2019/09/the-new-man.html

Corneliu, make out of our country a country as beautiful as the sun, powerful and obedient to God.
- Ion Mota

Readers of my work know that I have a long-standing interest in the Romanian Legionary Movement, its leader Codreanu, as well as prominent followers such as Ion Mota. I have long understood that the Legionaries hit upon a core fundamental idea that escapes today's activists – that there is something more important than ideologies, political programs, memes, and dogma. This fundamental idea is improving the human material upon which you will build your movement. Renewal of self, renewal of the moral capital of the individual, creation of The New Man, all of this is essential to ultimate victory.

Trying to establish a movement on a foundation of human detritus will quickly lead to complete collapse, as we see today.

Consider the following interesting article:

Valentin Sandulescu - „Fascism and its Quest for the 'New Man:' The Case of the Romanian Legionary Movement," Studia Hebraica, No. 4, (2004), pp. 349 - 361.

Let's consider relevant excerpts from that article of relevance to The New Man:

…fascism developed an ideology and not just a reactionary movement, and identifies the goals of that ideology with the revolutionary will for a complete regeneration aimed at creating a new order and a "new man." … One may consider that the creation of what fascists termed the "new man" was the final goal of their cultural revolution…Therefore, the fascist project was based on a palingenetic myth, the idea of the nation's rebirth through the creation of a "new man."… Codreanu envisaged a revolutionary platform for his movement, proposing a radical transformation of the country by reforming its human component…The idea of building a "new country" through a "new type of man" was not a conventional one and did not need exclusively conventional methods to be put into practice…. For him, the Legion's regenerative project, what he called a "spiritual revolution," could not be fulfilled by ordinary man but by new, "spiritualised" human beings… Codreanu did not shy away from describing the "new man" as a modern hero, who had to show magnificent strengths in a plurality of fields…

See this:
https://miscarea.net/mota-ashes.htm

"It is not enough to reassert the ideals of the students; a student conference cannot be reduced to a mere show of ideology; a conference must be an occasion to study the interior capacity of students to achieve their ends. It is pointless to talk of ideals if, at the same time, one does look to the means by which these ideals will be achieved.

What is the capacity for sacrifice of the youth united at this conference? It is only by a fusion of the student's personal life with his ideal that the latter's achievement can be assured.

The essential thing is the spirit of sacrifice.

We all of us have the most formidable dynamite, the most advanced weapon of war, more powerful than tanks and machine guns: it is our own ashes! Every power in the world is destined to collapse, whilst it remains with the ashes of brave fighters, fallen for Justice and for God." - Ion Mota

Let us be brutally frank here. One reason (among others) that the Far Right makes little progress is the relatively poor quality of the human material in its ranks. Dissident Right "leadership" often talks of "eschewing defectives" – a process that they do not do; further, some of these "leaders" can justifiably be labelled defectives themselves. Now, someone may argue "but look at the Left, they are a bunch of highly defective BioLeninist freaks and yet they go from victory to victory." Yes, but the Left has the entire globalist System behind them, they are riding high on the waves of modern degeneracy, and so they can get away with being freakish defectives. Indeed, one could argue that they could have achieved even more had they not been defective; we can be thankful for that at least. We, on the Far Right, opposing the System, dissidents who not only want to stop the rising tide of degeneracy but also stimulate our own cleansing wave to wash away the rot, cannot afford to engage in battle with low quality human material.

The New Man should serve as a model for the direction we need to go. If the Right prizes quality over quantity, then let us first strive for quality and then expand in quantity based on a firm foundation of sound human material.

Hierarchy of Organization and the Legionary Approach

There should be a hierarchical organizational structure, but, as well, not too over-centralized since that would strangle local initiative and put the whole endeavor in danger if enemies were able to seize control of certain key leadership positions.

But to the extent that there is some hierarchy, some leadership – and Whites *will* need leadership for both ideological as well as practical matters - I believe that this role can be performed by an elite, international, Pan-European, vanguardist "Legion." We need a Legion like the Legion of Michael the Archangel, founded by Corneliu Codreanu in Romania. See this:
https://archive.org/details/TheHistoryOfTheLegionaryMovement/History%20of%20the%20Legionary%20Movement%20-%20Horia%20Sima/

However, this Legion would need to be Pan-European, and international in scope. Such a group can constitute the elite vanguard leadership of the overall approach outlined here, helping to lead and organize the various organizations dedicated to the genetic, cultural physical (safety), economic, social,

political, legal, spiritual, etc. well-being of our people. As regards the sort of Legion I am referring to see this post:
https://westdest.blogspot.com/2015/08/for-my-legionaries.html

A relevant excerpt from that *Western Destiny* blog post, which was about Codreanu's *book For My Legionaries* is below.

Excerpt: *As is clear, Codreanu's movement and its emphasis on the New Man, squarely sits among the radicals, and it must be note that, while rejecting communism, the Legion also rejected predatory capitalism and plutocracy, and fought for social justice and a "fair shake" for Romanian workers. Such terms as "social justice" and "rights for workers" would no doubt cast a chill on the conservatives and their "sweet deals," and this helps to clarify some of the differences between the two groups.*

On pages 254-259 of this edition are two sub-chapters by Codreanu entitled Dangers That Threaten a Political Movement and The Critique of the Leader – these pages alone are worth the cost of the entire book. Somehow, by writing about Romanian nationalism of the post-WWI period, Codreanu also envisioned and predicted the pathetic failures – and some of the reasons for those failures - of the American "movement" of the period 1945-Present. It's all there – the inability to recognize and weed out infiltrators, agent provocateurs, incompetents, freaks, and defectives; the desperation for followers that means that anyone who meets the minimum criteria for membership and who professes any superficial allegiance is accepted into the very heart of the group, no questions asked; the inability to cut out/remove "gangrenous" elements before they infect the entire group; defects in character of leadership, leaders who are uninspiring, who do not know how to lead, who procrastinate and waste endless opportunities (how many Professor Cuzas have we had in the "movement"?) – the list goes on. Read those pages and you'll recognize the American "movement" in all its tragicomic pitifulness. You'll also recognize a number of "movement" blogs/websites that have been destroyed because of their inexplicable inability to recognize outright (in some cases, virtually self-declared) frauds and trolls, sites whose "accept one and all" attitude have led to the sorts of rampant infections Codreanu warned about in this book.

Also, starting on page 289 are two sub-chapters entitled The Beginnings of Legionary Life and Our Program, which are also of great value and are must reading. Of particular interest is that the Legionary Movement, as opposed to the "movement," did not recruit. They simply established their way of living, performed their activities, and those attracted to that lifestyle, those drawn to the Legionary life, came to them. And, if of good character, they were accepted, and only if they performed up to standards and only if the Legionary life was acceptable to them, they were retained. And once accepted, once a part of the Legionary "nest," the Legionary found himself in a comradely collectivist environment of like-minded persons following this way of living, not a rigid "Fuhrer principle" "movement" garbage dump of freakishness, procrastination, and incompetence. Note I use the phrase "way of living" to describe the Legionary "program" because that is precisely what it was: not an "official program" of detailed policies and memes, no "movement" stupidities with their invented sci-fi/fantasy "racial histories," calipers, "admixture ratios," dumb mantras, defective memes, ideological frameworks as flimsy as a house of cards. The Legionary "program" was to create a new type of Romanian, a New Man, to act, to become, to exert leadership within the national community - not to collect a bunch of bozos calling themselves "Superhitler1488aryanbloodssmanlonewolfultranaziswastika88148814," and/or folks with a documented history of mental illness, and/or obvious trolls with a documented history of blog-wrecking, or any other flotsam and jetsam of "movement" detritus, and then give these people "the keys to the kingdom" and then watch your blog flounder, your group files end up in the hands of "watchdog" groups, a quarter-century of "activism" yielding zero results, or any other typical "movement" outcome. Also, reading the Legion's history, one notes for the record that many of their enemies and traitors ended up

dead. Now, that last comment is NOT meant as any sort of advocacy for violence or any sort of thing, far from it – it is simply a statement of historical fact. Legionaries would sacrifice themselves – often on their own initiative – for the cause, and NOT by some moronic stupidity of shooting up a church or movie house, but by the specific targeting of their very public enemies. Again, that's simply a statement of fact and not advocacy or promotion of anything. I also note that the more the "movement" talks about "eschewing defectives," the more defectives of the types noted above are handed those keys and are welcomed with open arms. The "movement" is a joke; the Legionary Movement was of deadly seriousness.

I also note that the devoutly Christian Codreanu was forced to admit that most Romanian priests were openly hostile to the vehemently pro-Christian Legionary Movement. The great love that Traditionalists have for Christianity is an unrequited love, indeed.

Toward the end of the book Codreanu wrote a critique of democracy that ranks with Yockey's own fine analysis of that subject. Codreanu dissects the unsuitability of democracy to solve national problems and provide national leadership. He asserts that an elite, which rises based on ability and personal qualities, is required. How exactly are the elite chosen? If a national elite already exists, it must choose its successor, and do so by confirming for leadership those who have proven themselves worthy. What if there is no pre-existing nationalist elite? How does the process begin? Codreanu writes…the real elite is born out of war with the degenerate elite. In other words, the founding elite of the national state is formed by those who have waged war against the degenerate elites of the anti-nationalists. Codreanu not only rejects democratically elected elites but, also (and interestingly from a movement that was so pro-monarchy) hereditary elites; indeed, Codreanu cogently observes that the replacement of an original elite of merit by elites of heredity (i.e., the original nobility earn their status through war and politics and then degenerates into a hereditary caste) is what caused the democratic revolutions to begin with. Analogous to my own statement that superiority must be earned and is not a birthright of any individual, group, or ethny, Codreanu proposes an elite of merit and ability, one that is rejuvenated by fresh blood each generation. Such analyses demonstrate that Codreanu the man of action was also a solid practical political theorist.

Codreanu also asserted that the movement must move on three levels: the individual, the national collective, and the nation throughout the ages, and each preceding level must accept the preeminence of the next highest level, with the nation over time (what we today would focus on as ethny or race) being of the highest level of importance.

Also see:
https://westdest.blogspot.com/2021/07/holy-legionary-youth.html

Should my old concept of "Legion Europa" be revived? That is something to consider.

Infiltration and Operational Security

A detailed discussion of operational security for the endeavors outlined here is beyond the scope of this work. In brief, what we need is a professional, competent, and effective strategy that outlines practical steps with respect to operational security. The presence of infiltrators, informers, moles, and *agent provocateurs* in dissident movements is inevitable. It is necessary to limit their presence to the degree possible, particularly among the higher ranks, limit their access to valuable information and decision making, prevent their activity to derail group effectiveness, etc. It is important that the activities outlined in this work are such that, in the end, it does not matter if others know about it. These activities

are public works based on supporting the well-being of a specific community. These activities are not guerilla warfare or anything of the sort.

Legal Issues

Similarly, detailed discussions about legal issues are beyond the scope of this work. No doubt governments, whether still majority White in the present time or majority non-White in a post-national future, will (and have at the present time) use lawfare against organizations and infrastructures that promote the interests of our people. A top priority for any activity, and we need this today, are organizations and infrastructures that defend our legal rights within whatever governmental system we find ourselves. The Dissident Right has heretofore struggled while being completely defenseless from a legal standpoint; that is mostly due to the incompetence, ineffectiveness, foolishness, and selfishness of Dissident Right "leadership." Obviously, this must change and the sooner the better.

Free Speech

The fight for free speech and freedom of expression is essential for our cause, and it is one that many in the Dissident Right, particularly in Europe, have foolishly disregarded (I have personal experience in dealing with such people).

Electoral Politics and Mainstreaming

We absolutely must be involved in electoral politics; I have written about this much in the past. Even if there is no chance of victory in any electoral race, political involvement legitimizes our positions, spreads propaganda, gets people organized (and these can then later be used for other activities), attracts recruits, etc. Any political victories, if they do occur, would not only boost morale and not only help spread our message, but would place our people in positions of authority within the System. We need people in positions of authority, including in elected office, to provide "cover" for our other activities, to leverage their positions to assist in any way possible, even if that assistance is only to obstruct and limit the persecution of our activities by the System. We need representation in the halls or power; this is another avenue of activity heretofore ignored by the Dissident Right in America, and we can see the consequences of that in decades of utter failure. Of course, we must husband our (limited) resources (but why must our resources always be limited? – ask your failed "leaders"), but the electoral politics leg of our approach must not be neglected. We just need to be strategic about it.

I have long been against "mainstreaming" – the softening and moderating of Dissident Right/Far Right positions to "enhance electability" and "attract more moderate voters." First, remember that electoral politics are a means to an end; winning an election is not an end to itself. Second, mainstreaming repels and demoralizes hardcore activists and delegitimizes our agenda; by changing the agenda we tacitly admit something is wrong with it. Third, mainstreaming almost never succeeds. How many times has this strategy won an election? Not many. What happens most often is that the Far Right moves so close to the political center that the Center-Right can adopt and co-opt enough of the Far Right's positions (by false promises of course) to poach Far Right voters. Meanwhile, many of those voters who are suspicious of the Far Right's history will not vote for them no matter how much they mainstream. Fourth, there is evidence that "farstreaming" – when a rightist candidate moves further and further to the right – is more successful as an electoral strategy. Orban's popularity in Hungary has increased as he has moved to the right. Trump won in 2016 by adopting positions that won favor among the American "Alt Right." True, Trump was a disappointment as President then, but that was due to his personal failings, not part of an inherent flaw in the farstreaming approach. Fifth, even in those rare instances that a mainstreaming candidate wins, the nature of that victory is such that they will do nothing useful when

in power, the ends and means are confused (see point number one). At the time I write this, Meloni in Italy is a perfect example of this fifth point.

True leadership consists in bringing the voters over to your side, not surrendering and bringing your side to the voters. What do I suggest? We need a combination of radical policies, forcefully promoted, but with calm and rational speech. This is the Teddy Roosevelt "speak softly but carry a big stick" approach (as opposed to Trump's "speak loudly and carry a twig" tragicomedy approach).

See this:
https://eginotes.wordpress.com/2022/04/07/farstreaming-vs-mainstreaming/

CONCLUSION

What can we conclude from all of this?

There needs to be general understanding, community building, and political cooperation between these various White "outsider" factions. Everything must be done to break down the barriers between White groups – particularly the Outsiders – and get moving toward dialogue, understanding, and cooperation.

An Alliance of Outsiders, an Army of the Disaffected, can constitute a force that can destabilize the System and promote the interests of all Whites, and give the Outsiders hope that they can create a future in which they belong, by creating a societal structure in which they will be valued.

But this will not "just happen." There needs to be a focused strategy of bringing these groups together and empowering alliances of disaffected White Outsiders to help bring about the collapse of the rotten System that oppresses them, which oppresses all Whites, and so bring into being the future that we desire and that we deserve.

Ted Sallis
Occupied United States of America
2024

More information on the author and his work:

Blogs:
https://eginotes.wordpress.com/

https://westdest.blogspot.com/

***Gab* account:**
https://gab.com/theodoresallis